

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2597-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.09.2022

Tarsem Singh (deceased) through Lrs and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Gurmeet Kaur and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. B.K.Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The petitioner herein claims to be the original owner as well as the landlord of the tenanted premises. He allegedly executed a sale deed of the tenanted premises in favour of Smt.Gurmeet Kaur-respondent no.1. Thereafter, a civil suit filed by the petitioner, challenging the correctness of the sale deed, has not only been dismissed by the trial court but also by the First Appellate Court. The petitioner's Regular Second Appeal is stated to be pending. In a petition filed by Smt.Gurmeet Kaur under the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, the court has assessed the provisional rent. The correctness thereof, has been challenged by the petitioner. The petitioner claims to be the owner of the property. The aforesaid issue is pending in a Regular Second Appeal. At this stage, the court has only assessed the provisional rent.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

21.09.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE