

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.114

**CM-1656-CWP-2022 in/and
CWP-1183-2022**

Date of Decision : February 11, 2022

Suraj Bhan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-1656-CWP-2022

This application has been filed for placing on record amended writ petition along with amended synopsis.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and documents aforementioned are taken on record.

At oral request, main case is taken on board today.

CWP-1183-2022

The Financial Commissioner has rejected the revision petition on the ground of delay. Sanad taksim was issued on 29.08.2017 but was challenged two years later.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that delay was caused on account of fact that an appeal was preferred in the first instance followed by a revision petition. The remedies were being pursued on account of incorrect legal advice and this fact should have been taken into consideration by the Financial Commissioner.

There is nothing on record to indicate that an application for condonation of delay on the grounds argued by the learned counsel was ever filed. Thus, Financial Commissioner could not have taken these points into consideration. No other error has been pointed out.

The Financial Commissioner was justified in dismissing the revision petition on the ground of delay.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

February 11, 2022
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No