

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2545 of 2022 (O&M)**

**DECIDED ON: 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2022**

**Jasmeet alias Dabbu**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**State of Haryana**

**.....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.**

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana.

\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)**

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.254 dated 8.7.2021, under Sections 148, 149, 325, 379-B, 195-A, 506 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Saran, District Faridabad, Haryana.

On 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2021, Chaman Lal (complainant) along with his friends was sitting inside the office in Jawahar Colony, Dabbu (petitioner), Lovekush, Jitu Pandit, Tittu, Mogli, brother-in-law of Mogli, Hani and brother of Mogli, Manish and 3-4 other persons armed with iron rods and sticks entered the office. Dabbu gave an iron rod blow on the right leg and Lovekush and Jitu Pandit also gave iron rod blows on the leg and hand of the complainant. Lovekush and other boy, who was armed with knife type article, gave repeated blows on the leg due to which the leg was seriously injured. It was alleged that Rs.3,100/- was taken out from the right pocket of the shirt.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on non-vital part. The allegations of snatching of Rs.3100/- from the pocket of the complainant is an exaggeration. He submits

that apart from snatching, the role attributed to the petitioner is similar to that of co-accused Jitu, who was granted regular bail by the Sessions Court. Petitioner is in custody since 11.8.2021, investigation is complete.

Learned State counsel on instructions opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner was specifically named in the FIR. All the accused together went to the office of the complainant and inflicted injuries. She contends that though there is similarity with regard to role attributed by the petitioner and co-accused Jitu but there was no allegation of snatching against Jitu.

The injury attributed to him is on non-vital part, co-accused were granted bail and anticipatory bail, though investigation is complete conclusion of trial is likely to take time, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

27<sup>th</sup> April, 2022

*reema*

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE

|                                  |            |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>No</i>  |