

256 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR-341-2019

Date of Decision: 14.12.2022

ARUJ MITTAL

... PETITIONER

VS.

NEHA MITTAL AND ANOTHER

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the orders passed by the Court below vide which interim maintenance has been awarded in favour of the respondent(s).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties, respondent No.1 has withdrawn the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

14.12.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No