

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2394-2022

Date of decision:01.04.2022

HARPREET SINGH ALIAS VICKY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

In FIR No.185 of 15.12.2021, registered at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa, offences constituted under Sections 384/120-B of IPC, 1860, are embodied.

2. The role as assigned to the bail petitioner is that of his extorting a sum of Rs.20,000/- from the complainant. On 21.01.2022, this Court was pleased to grant the relief of *ad-interim* bail to the present bail petitioner, however, subject to his rendering the fullest co-operation to the investigating officer concerned. Therefore, when the matter was taken up today, the learned State counsel was asked to make an intimation to this Court, whether the fullest co-operation has been meted by the bail petitioner to the investigating officer concerned. She has, on instructions given to her by ASI Bhola Singh submitted, that the bail petitioner has caused the effectuation of recovery(ies) of a sum of Rs. 20,000/-, and, also of the incriminatory mobile phone, to the investigating officer concerned.

3. Therefore, normally custodial interrogation is ordered only for effectuations of recovery(ies) by the accused concerned, of the relevant incriminatory evidence to the investigating officer concerned, and, when the afore recovery(ies) stands effected by the bail petitioner, to the investigating officer concerned. Therefore, this Court does not deem it fit, and, appropriate to order for the custodial interrogation of the bail petitioner.

5. In summa the order as made, by this Court, on 21.01.2022, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

6. Disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

01.04.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No