

233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR-199-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:10.10.2022

MANJULA

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Rajwant Singh Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 19.12.2018 passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hissar, vide which the application under Section 311 Cr.P.C. filed on behalf of the complainant has been allowed.

Learned State counsel submits that as per the case status on E-court website, the petitioner has been discharged in terms of the order dated 11.07.2019 and, consequently, the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the categoric assertion made by learned State counsel, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three months, if the aforesaid assertion of learned State counsel is found to be factually incorrect.

10.10.2022

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No