

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRM-M-2806-2022

Date of Decision:02.02.2022

Anup Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.
(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab, accepts notice and waives service on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sartaj Singh, submits that the investigation is complete and process for filing cancellation report is going on.

In the opinion of this Court, it is appropriate for the petitioner to file a protest petition and oppose the cancellation report before the concerned Court. In case, the petitioner still has any grievance it shall be open for him to approach this Court again. It is also clarified that the present petition shall not come in the way in filing the protest petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further prayed that he is facing threat perception from the private respondents.

Given above, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the concerned SHO or SSP and in such eventuality, the concerned official shall assess the threat perception and provide security as long as the threat perception continues. In case, the petitioner flaunts such security, on this ground alone, such security shall automatically stand withdrawn.

Petition is disposed of the aforesaid terms.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

02.02.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No