

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CRWP-601-2022

Date of decision : 21.01.2022

Rimpy Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present: Mr.Lavish Ghangas, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

**(Through Video Conferencing)**

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

This is a criminal writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the petitioner was born on 03.03.2002 and is a major and has given a representation to respondent no.2 for protection of her life and liberty and in the said representation, it has been alleged that the petitioner was married to respondent no.4 on 02.09.2018 and said respondent no.4 was harassing the present petitioner both physically and mentally under influence of liquor. On 29.07.2021 respondent no.4 had thrown out the petitioner from the house and since then the petitioner has started to live

with family of Kulwinder Singh. It is further averred that on 02.12.2021 respondent no.4 along with his associates had committed acts which have caused reasonable apprehension in the mind of the petitioner with respect to her life and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that he would be satisfied in case the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 by considering the representation on limited aspect of threat perception to the petitioner and to take appropriate action therefrom.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 08.12.2021 (Annexure P-2) with a limited aspect of threat perception to the petitioner and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, to look into the representation dated 08.12.2021 (Annexure P-2) with a limited aspect of threat perception to the petitioner and after making necessary enquiries and assessing the threat perception to the petitioner and her family members, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to

the representations with a limited prayer aforesaid.

In view of what has been observed above, the present Criminal Writ petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

January 21, 2022  
*Davinder Kumar*

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable          | Yes/No |