

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CRM-M-2509-2020
Date of decision:03.08.2022

SAGAR DHILLON AND OTHERS

...petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None for the petitioners

Mr.Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG Punjab,

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between them and respondent no.2 and 3, of FIR No.16 dated 19.01.2018, registered at Police Station City Batala, District Batala, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 307,326,323 and 34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom. A copy of the compromise deed dated 07.12.2019 has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

It is seen that ever since the petition came up for hearing for the first time on 21.01.2020 and thereafter on 17.02.2020, 16.03.2020 and even on 25.07.2022, either learned counsel for the petitioners had sought an adjournment or had not come present at all. Today also, learned counsel for the petitioners is not present despite the matter having been called out twice.

In any case, unless he could have made out a case that an offence punishable under Section 307 IPC was not even made out in the first place,

even in terms of the judgment of the Supreme Court in “*Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab*” (2014) 6 SCC 466, as to how an FIR alleging therein the commission of such an offence (Section 307 IPC) can be quashed even on the basis of a compromise, is not understood.

In view of the above, this petition stands dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

03.08.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No