

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

1. CR-110-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.11.2022

ANITA

..Petitioner

Versus

BATHINDA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR. ..Respondents

2. CR-114-2021 (O&M)

VEER SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

BATHINDA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rau P.S. Girwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Two identical civil suits filed by the petitioners (one each) are pending before the trial Court. The plaintiffs while praying for the decree of declaration have challenged the correctness of the notices issued under Section 45 of the Punjab Urban Development Authority Act. In such circumstances, the petitioners challenged the correctness of cancellation of allotment in their favour. In one suit, the plaintiff has already concluded his evidence, whereas, in the other suit, the case is still fixed for the plaintiff's evidence.

An application filed by the petitioners in both the revision petitions for framing the additional issues has been dismissed by the Court. The trial Court culls out the issues in order to inform the parties about the points which are required to be proved. Hence, the entire object of

framing issues is to inform the parties to the dispute about the points on which the Court is adjudicating.

Since the cases are at an advanced stage, the revision petitions are disposed of with the observation that the trial Court will comprehensively decide the suit.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 24th, 2022

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No