

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CR-229-2017(O&M)
Date of decision: 23.09.2022

SARUP SINGH (DECEASED) THROUGH LRS **..Petitioner**
Versus
UDHAM SINGH **..Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate
Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mayank Mathur, Advocate for respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner herein is a tenant. He has been ordered to be evicted on the ground of bonafide necessity of the landlord by both the Courts below. As per the grounds of revision, the petitioner claims that the landlord is owner of three shops. Both the Courts have examined the aforesaid fact and found that the respondent's son is running a separate shop opposite the shop named Chadha Fruit and Vegetables. It has also come on record that the respondent is owner of only one shop, whereas, the remaining two shops are owned by his brother, who have, now, separated.

It has also come on record that previously the respondent was working with his brother Sh. Dalip Singh but he discontinued the business and thereafter, the respondent started selling vegetables from the old vegetable market which has now been shifted to a new market area at Sanour Road. Thus, the requirement of the respondent is found to be bonafide. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 23rd, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*