

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2768-2022
Date of Decision : 28.01.2022**

Ranjit Kaur and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J (ORAL)

The petitioners, apprehending arrest came up before this Court under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 24.01.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the previous order dated 24.01.2022, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

4. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Rajpal Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners makes a case for release on bail,.

6. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The interim order dated 24.01.2022, is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

January 28, 2022

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No