

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 2128 of 2017

Date of Decision: 09.12.2022

Bhagwana (Deceased) through his Legal Representatives and Another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. R.A. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Pannu, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana, for the respondent No.1 and 2.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate, Senior Panel
Counsel for Union of India and Mr. Lalit Attri, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. In the proceedings for the apportionment/entitlement of compensation for acquisition of the land, the Reference Court has held that the Gram Panchayat is entitled to compensation. It has come on record that 1251 bighas and 4 biswas of *shamlat deh* land was acquired by the State. No doubt, the Collector, in the proceedings under Section 13A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961 decided in favour of the proprietors with respect to 811 bighas and 12 biswas of land, however, the same was set aside by the higher authority on 23.07.1992.

2. Keeping in view the aforesaid fact, there is no ground to interfere while exercising the revisional jurisdiction. The petitioners may

avail their alternative remedy, if any. The present revision petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

December 09, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No