

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-491-2022

Date of decision : 19.01.2022

Jyoti Mannewar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for respondent No.4.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 05.11.1996 and petitioner No.2 was born on 14.03.1987, and have married each other on 15.01.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon Aadhaar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) and the Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3). It is also stated by the

learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 15.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) has also been given to respondent No.2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 4 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 15.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.4 and has opposed the present petition.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 15.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

19.01.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No