

CRM-M-2355-2022
Date of Decision : 07.02.2022

Versus

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J (ORAL)

4. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Sukhjinder Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions. Learned counsel for the complainant has also opposed the bail on the ground that the petitioners are not cooperating.

5. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners makes a case for release on bail.
6. Given above, the petitioners are directed to cooperate and they shall also join the investigation on day-to-day basis and continue to do so unless the investigator is satisfied and they shall remain present in the concerned police station on 28.02.2022 at 9.00 a.m.
7. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The interim order dated 20.01.2022 is hereby made absolute subject to the condition that in case petitioners fail to comply with the aforementioned conditions then the complainant as well as learned State counsel may file appropriate application for cancellation of the bail.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

February 07, 2022
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No