

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1014-2022
Date of Decision: 31.03.2022

Karnail Singh and others

....Petitioners

VS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Munish Jolly, Advocate
for the petitioners

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

An Administrator was appointed by the DDPO concerned which was challenged before the revisional Court. Operation of the order was stayed and during the pendency of the revision petition a fresh order of appointment of Administrator was passed. This fact was brought to the notice of the revisional Court through a miscellaneous application but the same was not taken up for consideration. Aggrieved with this lapse, the present writ petition was filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the second order of appointment of Administrator has since been challenged by way of another revision petition and, thus, this writ petition has been rendered infructuous

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

31.03.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No