

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2332-2022

Date of decision : 07.02.2022

Akash

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for regular bail to the petitioner in FIR no.514 dated 16.07.2018 registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act (charges were framed under Sections 307, 452, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act later on) at Police Station Sadar, District Palwal.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ashok Kumar, has submitted that in the present case even eye-witness Jagir Ram has not been examined yet.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the same, seeks to withdraw the present petition, at this stage, with liberty to file fresh petition after eye-witness Jagir Ram is examined.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the

liberty to file fresh petition after examination of eye-witness Jagir Ram.

The second fresh petition would be considered independently, on its merit and the trial Court is directed to record the statement of said witness Jagir Ram, as expeditiously as possible.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 07, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No