

Randhir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGHPresent: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for the the petitioner.

Mr. Saurab Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

This case has been taken up out of turn as I was about to rise, on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner who submits that the petitioner joined investigation pursuant to the order of this court dated 21.01.2022.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Mohinder Singh, does not deny the same and submits that in fact, as per his instructions, the petitioners' custodial interrogation is not required.

That being so, with the quantity of opium stated to have been recovered from the co-accused of the petitioner being well below commercial quantity, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed, with the order dated 21.01.2022, made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

03.08.2022

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No