

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-968-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:19.01.2022**

Rupak Vij

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition raises a prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of an order dated 23.08.2021 (Annexure P-9) which as per the petitioner proceeds to cancel the allotment of industrial Plot No.66, Sector-25, Part II, Panipat.

Perusal of the impugned order dated 23.08.2021 (Annexure P-9) would itself demonstrate that the prayer is misleading. Such order does not tantamount to any cancellation of the industrial plot in question. Rather pleadings on record would indicate that the LOI that had been issued in favour of the petitioner for the industrial plot in question way back in the year 1998 had been cancelled by the Estate Officer, Panipat on 20.02.2006 itself.

The impugned order at best proceeds to annul the proceedings of a litigation committee dated 28.06.2019 in which certain observations had been made in favour of the petitioner.

Confronted with a situation where this Court was not inclined to intervene, counsel seeks withdrawal of the writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

19.01.2022
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No