

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

CRM-M-2173 of 2022

Date of Decision: 20.01.2022

Satish

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANT PARKASH, J.

*[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court]*

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.471 dated 07.12.2021, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323 and 324 IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with his co-accused persons, gave beatings to the complainant and inflicted two knife blows on the left side of his waist.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as he has nothing to do with the alleged offence. He further submits that the petitioner did not inflict any injury on the person of the complainant as he was not present at the spot at the time of the incident.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent State.

While opposing the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, learned State counsel submits that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature as the petitioner along with his co-accused persons, inflicted grievous injuries on the person of complainant by giving two knife blows on his waist. Moreover, recovery of the alleged weapon has not yet been effected, requiring the custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

Heard.

Keeping in view the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioner by inflicting knife blows on the waist of the complainant with an intention to kill him as also the fact that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required as the alleged weapon used for committing the said offence has not been recovered yet, it would not be appropriate to grant him concession of anticipatory bail and therefore, his prayer in this regard is hereby declined.

Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

20.01.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No