

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

260

CRWP-486-2022

Decided on : 28.04.2022

Yudhvir Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Ajay Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, orders or directions directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has referred to the latest status report filed by way of an affidavit of Ranbir Singh, DSP, Mahendergarh and has highlighted paragraph 7 of the said report which is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“That the security/protection was given to the petitioner in compliance of order dated 22.07.2021 passed by Sh. Sudhir Jiwan, Add. Session Judge, Narnaul whereby the Superintendent of Police, Narnaul was directed to provide, complete protection for securing the ends of justice to complainant Yudhvir

Singh. It is pertinent to mention here that after withdrawal the security of the petitioner Ld. Add. Session Judge, Narnaul who provided the security has been informed regarding the withdrawn of security vide Letter Memo no. 5976 dated 12.4.2022. It is also pertinent to mention here that now as per direction of Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh the security/protection has been provided to the petitioner and Constable Krishan Kumar No. 676 alongwith Carbine and Cartridges has been sent to the village Kalali of the petitioner Yudhvir Singh with direction to provide the protection and security to the petitioner. Hence, keeping in view the above facts as well as circumstances of this case, it is most respectfully submitted that the security/protection has been provided to the petitioner in the present case and the criminal writ petition of the petitioner is become infructuous and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Status Report is submitted please for the kind consideration of this Hon'ble court."

Learned State counsel has submitted that in view of the said reply, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although, the relief as sought by the petitioner has been granted but because the security was not granted for a period of two months, there are certain losses which he has suffered. It is further submitted that the present petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the petitioner to avail alternate remedies in accordance with law with respect to the said incurred losses.

In view of the same, present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, it would be open to the petitioner to seek any appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 28th, 2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No