216

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-953-2022
Date of decision: 18.11.2022

SUKHDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 and 6.

****

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing impugned letter dated 08.01.2022 (Annexure P-5) issued by respondent No.6, who without associating the petitioner herein in any inquiry, had directed for the registration of a criminal case.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued on 28.01.2022, reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents. As per the reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 3, there is a categoric averment that legal opinion was obtained and it was decided that the recommendation for registration of the FIR be filed and consigned to the record room.

CHETAN THAKUR
2022.11.21 10:01
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

In view of the reply filed and stand taken therein, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant writ petition, however, seeks liberty to approach again, in case any adverse orders are passed.

At this stage, learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 and 6 reserves their right to take any appropriate action, if so required against the petitioner.

The instant writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.11.2022
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

CHETAN THAKUR
2022.11.21 10:01
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document