

206-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.2290 of 2022 (O&M)  
Decided on: 08.03.2022

Mandeep Punia and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present :** Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.24 dated 14.10.2020, registered under Sections 406, 409, 420 IPC at Police Station Munak, Karnal, District Karnal.

The operative part of the order dated 20.01.2022, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

*“....Counsel for the petitioners has argued that both the petitioners were working on D.C. rates in the society and they were appointed by the co-accused Balkar Singh, the Manager of the society. It is further submitted that both Balkar Singh and Ramesh Chand, have been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 07.12.2021 passed in CRM-M No. 34252 of 2020 and dated 24.11.2021 passed in CRM-M No.5897 of 2021, which is now fixed for 08.03.2022.*

*Notice of motion....”*

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 20.01.2022, the petitioners have appeared

before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 20.01.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

08.03.2022  
*yakub*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:       | Yes/No |