

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

302

1. RFA-661-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.09.2022

VIRENDER SINGH

..Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

2. RFA-662-2022(O&M)

OM PARKASH AND ORS.

..Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

3. RFA-663-2022(O&M)

RAVINDER SINGH

..Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

4. RFA-664-2022(O&M)

PARDEEP KUMAR

..Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Suryakant Gautam, Advocate for the landowners.

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate for HSIDC.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

CM-1400-CI-2022 in RFA-661-2022

CM-1402-CI-2022 in RFA-662-2022

CM-1404-CI-2022 in RFA-663-2022

CM-1406-CI-2022 in RFA-664-2022

For the reasons stated in the applications for condonation of delay in refiling the appeals which are supported by an affidavit, the applications are allowed, subject to all the just exceptions.

The delay of 1928 days in refiling tshe appeals is condoned.

CMs stand disposed of.

Main

The learned counsel representing the parties are *ad idem* that with respect to the assessment of the market value of the acquired land, the matter is squarely covered by the judgment passed in **Regular First Appeal No.3000 of 2016, titled as “Jai Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 15.11.2021.**

Ordered accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 05th, 2022

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*