

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.242

CR No.168 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.03.2022

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sham Sunder

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant revision petition while submitting that it has become infructuous because the Civil Suit as well as the Appeal, preferred by the respondent-plaintiff, have already been dismissed by the competent Courts.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands dismissed as withdrawn for having been rendered infructuous.

30.03.2022

neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No