

**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2141-2022
Date of decision : 26.04.2022**

Sukhpal Singh Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. G.S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.107 dated 27.07.2021, under Sections 306 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code (Section 306 IPC deleted and Section 304-B IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

Vide order dated 20.01.2022, while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that pursuant to order dated 20.01.2022, petitioner has joined the investigation and as such his interim bail may be made absolute.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has opposed the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner. He further submits that there is ample evidence against the petitioner to prove his complicity in the offence committed.

Learned State counsel has placed on record status report. She has acknowledged that the petitioner has already joined investigation. She submits that in all, there are 04 accused, out of which, 03 accused have already been granted anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, interim order dated 20.01.2022 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.04.2022
m.sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No