

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2087-2022

Date of decision: 29.04.2022

SANJEEV KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Farhad Singh Kohli, Advocate
for complainant.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., whereins the present bail petitioner seeks indulgence of anticipatory bail.

2. In FIR No.403 of 23.09.2021, registered at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal, offences constituted under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, are embodied.

3. Without delving deep into the merits of the case, and, without also seeking any intimation from the learned State counsel, about the present petitioner, rendering his co-operation to the investigating officer concerned, the relevant fact, which is to be today borne in mind by this Court, for admitting to anticipatory bail the present bail petitioner, is, comprised in the factum of a joint statement being made before this Court, by the appearing counsels, for the contesting litigants, that a compromise has occurred amongst the concerned.

4. Therefore, in view of the above conjoint statement made before this Court, by the appearing counsels for the contesting litigants, this Court deems it fit, and, appropriate to admit to anticipatory bail the present bail petitioner.

5. Therefore, the present bail petition is allowed, and, the petitioner is admitted to anticipatory bail, and, he may not be arrested but subject to his furnishing before the investigating officer concerned, personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- each, and, to the satisfaction of the investigating officer concerned, and, also subject to his not tampering with prosecution evidence, and, besides his not influencing prosecution witnesses, and, also his making the fullest co-operation to the investigating officer concerned, as, and, when required to be rendering his co-operation.

29.04.2022

ithlesh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No