

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRWP No. 484 of 2022
Date of Decision: 19.1.2022

Rajni Rani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

(Through video conferencing)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondent No. 2 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 3 and 4.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-3.

3 Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-3, through a speaking order.

4. Petition is disposed of.

5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

January 19, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No