

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225

CRM-M-2009-2022

Date of decision : 24.01.2022

LAKHAN @ VIRAJ

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

(Through video conferencing)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.282 dated 17.08.2021 registered under Sections 379-A and 34 of IPC (Section 384 added lateron) at Police Station Sadar Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, but keeping in view the fact that the complainant is yet to be examined, the petitioner does not press this petition at this stage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that non examination of the complainant is also causing prejudice and therefore appropriate directions be given to the trial Court to examine the complainant as the first witness.

Learned State counsel raises no objection for issuing direction to the trial Court to examine the complainant as the first witness.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, in case, after consideration of the report submitted by the police, if charges are

framed, all the efforts be made to examine the complainant within a period of four weeks thereafter.

The present petition is disposed of in the above said directions.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

24.01.2022

rimpall

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No