

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR-2914-2008 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.11.2022**

Mohammed Anwar

... Petitioner

Versus

Abdul Gafoor and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate, and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

 Contends that the petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, filed by the respondent-landlord was dismissed by the Rent Controller on 08.04.2006. However, the appellate authority, vide order and judgment dated 03.10.2007, accepted the appeal and ordered eviction of the petitioner-tenant.

 In reference to the order dated 21.10.2009, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that in execution of the order of ejectment, the landlord obtained possession of the demised premises on 15.05.2008. Thus, with the efflux of time, the matter has lost its efficacy and is rendered infructuous.

 The petition is, accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

30.11.2022

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO