

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-424-2022

Date of decision : 17.01.2022

Preeti and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Banga, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.  
(Through Video Conferencing)

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that inadvertently, in the memo of parties, petitioner No.2 has been wrongly mentioned as Jasbir Singh son of Angrej Singh whereas petitioner No.2 is Akash son of Darshan Lal and thus, prays that said inadvertent error may kindly be corrected in the memo of parties.

In view of the above, the said inadvertent error is ordered to be corrected and in the memo of parties, it is directed that in place of petitioner No.2 namely "Jasbir Singh son of Angrej Singh", the same be read as "Akash son of Darshan Lal".

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 19.12.1999 as is apparent from her Aadhaar

Card (Annexure P-1) and Matriculation Certificate (Annexure P-2) and petitioner No.2 was born on 03.10.2000 as is apparent from his Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-3), and have married each other on 09.01.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon the Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-5) and Photographs (Annexure P-6). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 12.01.2022 (Annexure P-7) has also been given to respondent No.2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 12.01.2022 (Annexure P-7) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 12.01.2022 (Annexure P-7) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

**17.01.2022**

*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No  
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**