

[104-2] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.166 of 2020

Date of Decision : 21.10.2022

Dinesh Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

SumedhaKataria, Deputy Commissioner,
Panipat, Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of undertaking given by respondent No.1 by way of affidavit dated 26.09.2019 in CWP No.18126 of 2019.

[2] At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, states that CWP No.18126 of 2019 in which affidavit dated 26.09.2019 was filed has been disposed of as infructuous vide order dated 15.09.2022, therefore, the instant petition does not survive therefore the same be disposed of as having become infructuous.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner while conceding the aforementioned position states that the petitioner (herein) was not a party in CWP No.4966 of 2019, therefore, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law *qua* the action taken by the respondents leading to

appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.10.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No