

COC-199-2020

Date of Decision :30.03.2022

Anand Parkash Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

Vishal Bansal

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. S.S. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 25.01.2018 in CWP No.18589 of 2016.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 25.01.2018 reveals that while taking note of the fact that some of the petitioners had not been extended the benefit of Shetty Pay Commission increment, CWP No.18589 of 2016 was disposed of by observing that if the petitioners approached the Concerned respondent, the concerned respondent would verify whether the petitioners were entitled to the Shetty Pay Commission increment or not and if they were eligible, then increment would be extended and monetary benefits disbursed to them.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that order, Annexure P/1 dated 25.01.2018 in CWP No.18589 of 2016 has been complied with, therefore, the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

30.03.2022

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No