

[108] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.198 of 2020

Date of Decision :01.08.2022

Hukum Singh s/o Shri Karan Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Sushil Sarwan, IAS, Director, Rural Development
and Panchayats, Haryana, Chandigarh.

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 07.11.2019, in CWP No.32343 of 2019 in case titled as '**Hukum Singh versus State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1), reveals that CWP No.32343 of 2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide representation dated 19.08.2019 in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment and if, on consideration, the competent authority arrived at the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner was admissible, in such eventuality, consequential relief was to be allowed to the petitioner within six weeks thereafter.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, has placed on record copy of status report / reply by way of affidavit of *Shri Ramesh Chander Bidhan, IAS, Director General, Development and Panchayats Department* along

with order (Annexure R-1) dated 30.06.2020, rejecting the representation filed by the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order (Annexure R-1) dated 30.06.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.08.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No