

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1050 of 2018
Date of Order: 04.05.2022

Vineet Sehgal and another ..Petitioners
Versus

Smt. Sangeeta Gupta and others ..Respondents

CR-1094 of 2018

Vineet Sehgal and another ...Petitioners
Versus

Narender Saini and others ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ram Chander, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate, for
Mr. N.P.S.Kohli, Advocate, for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Two connected revision petitions shall be disposed of by a common order. The issue involved in both the petitions is common and the parties are represented by the same counsel. They are *ad-idem* that both the revision petitions can be disposed of by one and the same order.

The petitioners' suits for grant of decree of possession by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell are pending. Yudhvinder Singh son late Sh. Charan Singh Rana is plaintiff no.2, in both the suits. The learned trial court has closed the evidence of the plaintiffs after taking note of the fact that the plaintiffs failed to conclude their evidence despite last opportunity.

This Bench has heard the learned counsel representing the

parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paper book.

The learned counsel representing the petitioners contends that Yudhvinder Singh is a signatory of the receipt issued by the defendants while acknowledging receipt of the amount. Hence, he submits that examination of Yudhvinder Singh is necessary. It has further been pointed out that in the previous orders, the Court noticed that the plaintiffs want to examine other witnesses, however, on 28.08.2017, the Court overlooked the aforesaid fact and adjourned the case to 14.09.2017 for cross-examination of Vineet and Miya Singh.

The suit is with regard to an immovable property. A valuable right between the parties is required to be adjudicated. Sh. Yudhvidner Singh being an alleged signatory of the receipt is an important witness.

In view thereof the revision petitions are allowed.

Let the trial court grant one opportunity to the petitioners to produce their entire evidence, subject to payment of costs of Rs.2000/- each in both the revision petitions.

Disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 04, 2022

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No