

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-185-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.03.2022

Jasir Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Sarbjit Kaur and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. G.S.Punia, Sr. Advocate with
 Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners
 Mr. Sham Lal Bhalla, Advocate for respondent no.1 &2

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Admittedly, the suit for grant of decree of joint possession is pending before the Trial Court. The plaintiff calls in question the exhibition of certain documents, tendered in evidence by the learned counsel representing defendant no.1. He submits that these documents have not been proved in accordance with law.

Since the suit is pending and documents marked as exhibits do not signify that they are proved. Therefore, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to examine these facts at the time of final hearing. In view thereof, no ground to exercise jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is made out at this stage. The revision petition is disposed of with the observations that the plaintiff shall be at liberty to contend that the documents tendered in evidence by the counsel have not been proved in accordance with law.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

02.03.2022
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No