

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**C.R.No.203 of 2022(O&M)
Date of decision 10.02.2022**

Mohinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Dalip Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the Executing Court has erred in issuing the warrants of possession beyond the judgment and decree in favour of the decree holder.

On a Court question, the learned counsel representing the petitioner admits that this aspect of the matter has not been discussed by the Court in the impugned order. The learned counsel submits that the arguments on the aforesaid issue were addressed but the Court has failed to examine the same.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the Executing Court.

It is observed that if the counsel representing the objector did not press this issue at the time of arguments, the Executing Court shall be at liberty to decline interference in the previous order.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**February 10, 2022
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No