

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr.No.120

CRWP No.412 of 2022

Date of Decision:17.01.2022

Dikshya Bhandari & anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of U.T. Chandigarh & ors.

...Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. MS Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Both the petitioners have joined hands to prefer this petition for seeking the relief of the issuance of a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for U.T., Chandigarh, has joined the proceedings on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-U.T. in advance. He has forwarded the joint statement of both the petitioners, along-with their citizenship certificates and the passport of petitioner

No.2, to the Court through *e-mail* and these documents have been placed on the file.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition in view of the contents of the said documents.

Learned counsel for the respondent-U.T. has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)

JUDGE

17.01.2022

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No