

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(123+226)

CRM-3436-2022 in/and  
CRR-126-2022

Date of Decision : February 02, 2022

Praveen minor through his sister

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.  
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-3436-2022**

Present application has been filed for placing on record order dated 11.01.2022 as Annexure A/1 in compliance of the order dated 24.01.2022.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, the same is allowed and Annexure A/1 is permitted to be taken on record.

**CRR-126-2022**

Present revision petition has been filed seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner, who is stated to be a juvenile.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that after the filing of the present revision petition, a major development has occurred as the co-accused, who were facing trial, have been found innocent and have been acquitted by the competent Court of law and therefore, keeping in view the said fact, as the allegation against the petitioner and the co-accused, who have been acquitted, is identical in nature, the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of regular bail may kindly be accepted.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Garg, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State concedes the fact that co-accused, who were facing trial, have already been acquitted by the competent Court of law vide order dated 11.01.2022. Learned counsel for the respondent-State very fairly concedes that the allegations against the petitioner and the co-accused, who have been found innocent by the competent Court of law, are similar.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Keeping in view the fact that the the petitioner is behind the bars for the last approximately one year and one month coupled with the fact that co-accused of the petitioner, who were facing trial, have already been acquitted by the competent Court of law, no useful purpose will be achieved in keeping the petitioner behind the bars especially when he is a juvenile especially when the petitioner has undertaken before this Court that

in case, his prayer for the grant of regular bail is accepted, he will maintain good conduct.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will not influence trial in any manner including influencing the witnesses and in case of default of the above undertaking, State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

**February 02, 2022**  
*harsha*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes  
Whether reportable? : No