

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

233

CRM-M-1501-2022

Date of decision : 20.01.2022

SUKHDEEP @ SUKHDEV

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Vikam Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

(Through video conferencing)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.195 dated 06.03.2018 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 474, 409 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Line, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been roped in the present case on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused and as the petitioner has done nothing wrong, coupled with the fact that he is behind bars for the last 28 days, the petitioner may kindly be extended the concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel submits that the present case relates to a huge scam with respect to obtaining bank loans by submitting forged documents. Learned counsel for the State further submits that it is during the investigation it was found that the petitioner was also involved in the said scam keeping in view the disclosure statement of one co-accused Satpal.

Learned counsel further submits that as per the disclosure statement of the

co-accused, the petitioner had lured one Jaswant Singh, Baru Ram, Hazari Lal, Manish Kumar, Tara Chand and got the loan sanctioned by submitting forged document for which, he had received Rs.52,000/-. Learned counsel further submits that for getting the property detail of the above said person from revenue authorities, the application was submitted by the petitioner, which fact shows the active connivance of the petitioner with the other accused to defraud the bank in getting loan by forging documents.

Learned State counsel further submits that as the scan is huge and there are 130 accused involved, and the investigation is still under progress, the grant of bail to the petitioner is likely to effect the investigation as well.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

In the present case, there are specific allegations which have come on record against the petitioner of not only procuring the false document for submission before the bank authorities to seek loan but also receiving financial benefit out of the said loan amount fraudulently obtained. The investigation is still under progress and has not been completed and the grant of regular bail to the petitioner at this stage, will have an effect on the investigation, which would ultimately effect the trial as well.

No ground is made out to grant the petitioner the concession of regular bail, at this stage.

Dismissed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

20.01.2022

rimpall

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
Yes