

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6080 of 2022**

**Date of Decision : 25.03.2022**

**Parveen Kumari and another**

**.. Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.. Respondents**

**(Through Video Conferencing)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Jashandeep Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the husband of petitioner No.1 (father of petitioner No.2) namely Ashok Kumar, unfortunately died while in service on 01.10.2006.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the scheme issued by the respondent-State, the children of the deceased employee were entitled for free educational facility, which was required to be extended by the respondents to the petitioners but, the tuition fee, which was required to be paid in respect of the education of petitioner No.2, is not being reimbursed by the respondents and that too without any valid justification, despite being covered in the scheme, which is already in operation.

The prayer of the petitioners is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to reimburse the tuition fees, which has been paid by the petitioners from their own funds.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the said grievance has already been raised by the petitioners before the respondents vide representation dated 27.10.2009 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation dated 27.10.2009 (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 27.10.2009 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or opining anything on the claim being made by the petitioners in the representation dated 27.10.2009 (Annexure P-3), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 27.10.2009 (Annexure P-3) by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case after the decision thereof, the petitioners are found entitled for the relief, the same be also released to them within a period of next four weeks.

Present writ petition stands disposed of

**March 25, 2022**  
*rittu*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No