

COCp-77-2018

Date of Decision : 02.08.2022

Dharam Singh

...Petitioner

versus

J. Ganesan IAS and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Viresh Dahiya, Advocate for

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that although plot has been allotted to the petitioner in terms of order Annexure P/1 dated 13.07.2022 in CWP-3995-2017, yet the rate of allotment is on very high side and that the petitioner has already challenged the rate of allotment by way of CWP-24669-2021 and that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to pursue the challenge with regard to rate of allotment as raised in CWP-24669-2021.

2. The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.

3. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, with the liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

4. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.08.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No