

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CRM-M No. 1631 of 2022
Date of Decision: 07.7.2022**

Karanbir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. On 26.5.2022, this Court, in the hereinafter extracted manner, had ordered for the continuation of the order, made on 17.1.2022, wherethrough the facility of ad interim bail, became granted to the petitioner.

“1. Even on the second call, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner(s).

2. In the interest of justice, list on 7.7.2022.

3. Interim order(s) to continue only upto the next date.

4. However, if the learned counsel for the petitioner(s) does not appear on the subsequent date, or a prayer for adjournment is made, thereupon, the interim order(s) shall be deemed to be ipso facto vacated, without any further reference being made to this Court.

5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected case.”

2. A reading of the above extracted order reveals, that candid echoings becoming carried therein, that in case no appearance is made on behalf of the petitioner, or a prayer for adjournment is made, thereupon, the

interim order, as previously made by this Court, would be deemed to be *ipso facto* vacated, without any further reference being made to this Court.

3. In the wake of the above order made by this Court on 26.5.2022, and, since today also no appearance on behalf of the petitioner is made, thereupon, the above order is to be taken to its logical conclusion, and/or, is to be given effect, and, the apt sequel thereof is that, the order made by this Court on 17.1.2022, becomes *ipso facto* vacated.

4. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

July 07, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No