

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.1639 of 2022

Date of Decision: 28.03.2022

Bittu @ Balwinder Singh
@ Balvinder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder S.Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. In FIR No.180 of 08.12.2020, registered at Police Station Bahawala, District Fazilka, offences constituted under Sections 324, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 of IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), are embodied.
2. The incriminatory offence made against the petitioners is of theirs causing grievous injuries upon the person of the victims.
3. The learned State counsel, on instructions given to her, by ASI Roop Singh, submits that at the instance of Sagar, sword has been recovered and at the instance of Bittu @ Balwinder Singh, kapa has been recovered. She further submits that the victims' of the offence supra have fully recuperated from the injuries, entailed upon their persons, in sequel to the assault made upon them by the accused. She also submits that the investigation, into the FIR, is complete, and, that very soon a report under

Section 173 of the Cr.P.C., is going to be filed before the learned Magistrate concerned.

4. Consequently, given the afore, this Court does not deem it fit and appropriate to order for the custodial interrogation of the bail applicants-petitioners. Contrarily, it is deemed fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Therefore, the petition is allowed and the order dated 17.01.2022, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

28.03.2022
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No