

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109 (PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

1. Civil Writ Petition No.1317 of 2020

Date of Decision: March 10th, 2022

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

2. Civil Writ Petition No.7042 of 2021

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has informed the Court with reference to the reply filed in CWP No.7042 of 2021 that all cases, which have been preferred under Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 of The Haryana Public Premises and Land (Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act, 1972, have been decided.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the possession of the land has still not been taken, to which learned counsel for the State has referred to the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in *CWP-PIL No.71 of 2021* titled as *Court on its own motion Versus Union of India and others* to contend that there was an order passed by this Court protecting dispossession of the persons, which has now been vacated on 07.03.2022 and, therefore, appropriate action will be initiated and possession taken in case there is no stay

by the competent Court within a period of four weeks from today.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the State,
we dispose of these writ petitions binding the respondents with the above
statement of the counsel for the State.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

March 10th, 2022
Puneet

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No