

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1723-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.03.2022

Ravi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.294 dated 04.10.2021 under Sections 323, 34, 506, 307 IPC, registered at
Police Station Furrukh Nagar, Gurugram.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was
passed by this Court on 14.01.2022: -

*“...Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon order dated
07.01.2022 passed in CRM-M-54190-2021, vide which co-accused
Manjeet Singh has been granted the concession of interim*

anticipatory bail by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations against the petitioner are that he was a friend of main accused Manjeet Singh, who has been granted the interim anticipatory bail and the petitioner is ready to join investigation and compensate the victim by paying him a sum of Rs. 25,000/- towards his medical expenses without any prejudice to his right of defence.

Notice of motion for 31.03.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

This will, however, be subject to the condition that without prejudice to his right of defence, the petitioner will hand over a demand draft of Rs. 25,000/- favouring victim Anil, to the Investigating Officer within a period of 15 days from today, which will be further handed over to the victim...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation. It is further submitted that the petitioner has also handed over the demand draft of Rs.25,000/- favouring victim Anil, to the

Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position.

Learned counsel for the complainant has acknowledged that the complainant-victim has received the demand draft of Rs.25,000/-.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 14.01.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

31.03.2022
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No