

COCP-135-2020

Date of Decision :10.10.2022

Ram ChanderJangra

...Petitioner

versus

Mahavir Singh and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Padam Kant Dwivedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 24.10.2019, in CWP-31003-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-31003-2019, was disposed of by directing the Director General, Secondary Education, Haryana, to decide legal notice dated 20.09.2019, by passing a well reasonedand speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, with a further direction that in case the legal notice was not decided within the aforementioned period of time, costs of Rs.25,000/- would be paid to the petitioner by the concerned officer.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that benefit of promotion as District Education Officer as claimed by the petitioner w.e.f.27.07.2018, has been granted to the petitioner with notional benefits besides costs of Rs.25,000/- have also been paid to the

petitioner, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to seek payment of financial benefits on the post of District Education Officer w.e.f. 27.07.2018, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.10.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No