

[160] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.614 of 2022
Date of Decision : 01.02.2022

Anil Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. G.S. Gopera, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has submitted representation (Annexure P-8) dated 02.11.2021 to respondent No.3 in respect of the grievance, which is the subject-matter of the instant petition but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation (Annexure P-8) dated 02.11.2021 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.3 only.

4. Mr. Tapan Kumar, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing the competent authority in the Haryana Staff Selection Committee to consider and decide representation (Annexure P-8) dated 02.11.2021, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within 06 weeks from today.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.02.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No