

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(215) CWP-313-2020
Date of Decision : November 24, 2022

Archana Kumari .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(215-2) CWP-752-2020

Anshu Yadav .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(215-3) CWP-37122-2019

Poonam Jangra .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

By this common order, three writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading of the order, are being disposed of as all the petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that in the present petitions, the prayer of the petitioner(s) is that they should be re-adjusted as Extension Lecturers in different subjects in which they were initially appointed as Extension Lecturers.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that though at the time of the filing of the petitions, the policy existed under which the petitioner(s) were seeking re-adjustment but after the filing of the present writ petition, the respondents issued a policy for re-adjusting the Extension Lecturer on 04.03.2020, which was further amended on 11.05.2022. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) further submits that under the said policy, the petitioner(s) are fully eligible for re-adjustment keeping in view the interim order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in ***LPA No.814 of 2021 titled as Amrit Kaur vs. State of Haryana and others***, hence, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the petitioner(s) the consideration under the said policy coupled with the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in ***Amrit Kaur's case (supra)***.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner(s) file any representation raising the claim under the said policy, due consideration will be given to the claim of the petitioner(s) and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case, the said claim is found meritorious or covered by the interim order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in ***Amrit Kaur's case (supra)***, appropriate relief should also be given to the petitioner(s) within a further period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner(s) to approach the respondents raising the claim by filing appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

It is made clear that the claim of the petitioner(s) be considered under the relevant policy independent of any such claim considered under the old policies.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

November 24, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No