

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102+218

**CWP-740-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.09.2022**

NEELAM SINGH AND ORS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Siddharth Bhukkal and
Mr. Sachit Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. R.P. Dangi, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition had been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India raising a challenge to the order dated 14.12.2019 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Ad hoc Committee vide which fresh election schedule had been issued, despite the order dated 26.09.2019 of the State Registrar.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.4 contends that no grievance of the petitioner survives any further since the election has already been held and new governing body had come into existence. Even the tenure of said governing body is to expire in January, 2023.

Without commenting on the merits of the instant case and

taking into consideration the interregnum developments, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous. All other questions are left open. The disposal of the present petition shall also be without prejudice to any liberties of the petitioner to agitate his subsequent or remaining grievances before an appropriate forum in accordance with law.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 09, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No